Applicant's Statement of Common Ground with Suffolk County Council and East Suffolk District submitted at deadline 2.

Aldeburgh Town Council does not agree with the following:

(Please note, where comments have not been provided this does not mean that we are in agreement and reserve the right to provide responses as more information and details are provided);

1. SE12 The Accommodation Campus: - Is appropriate in principle (i.e. single, on-site campus) to provide mitigation for potential effects on the Housing Market and Transport; - Is of an appropriate scale (2,400 bedspaces); and - Is proposed in a location that sufficiently balances the needs of the Project and environmental and community effects, with no better location having been identified.

We do not agree that the principle of a single, on-site campus is appropriate. There is precedent for split-site locations, with legacy accommodation further away from the main site to remain beyond the project, such as a modular building which could become an urban hotel (for public use or for workers during future outages which between B and C site will occur every six months for three months duration) on a current brown field site so reducing the impact both on the environment and community.

There is no reason that workers could not be accommodated further away from the site and transported to site as part of their shift time i.e. paid time as an incentive (except increased financial cost to the Applicant). Although this would add a journey to/from site for shifts the worker buses appear to run frequently anyway, full or empty and workers would not need to undertake individual journeys in their non-work time to/from shops, entertainment or sport for example in their own cars if the accommodation was located in a more urban area, so this would balance out. This would also reduce the impact on local housing demand as there would be greater take up and less private accommodation/rental properties lost. If similar to Hinkley Point C the gym in the central amenity building does not appear to be sufficient size to prevent workers needing to travel elsewhere.

The location of one of the two accommodation campus in Hinkley Point C is closer to the main site, and not located within the same distance to residential properties as proposed at Sizewell C. The second is located at Bridgewater some 30mins away. We do not agree that there is 'no better location' and would request that this is urgently reviewed, and the results of the comparison made public.

 SE14 The LEEIE Caravan Site: - Is appropriate in principle and design to provide mitigation for potential effects on the Housing Market and Transport - Is of an appropriate scale (400 caravan capacity); and - Is proposed in a location that sufficiently balances the needs of the Project and environmental and community effects, with no better location having been identified Aldeburgh Town Council does not agree that creation of a 400-caravan capacity site (some single occupancy and some double) is appropriate in principle. This would bring a significant volume of workers into the same small geographic area as the accommodation campus with insufficient urban infrastructure needed to sustain their personal needs.

It would increase the real fear of antisocial behaviour experienced during the construction of Sizewell B, and actual antisocial behaviour/crime (SE30) also attract potential prostitution and county-line drug supply as has been evidenced in Bridgewater. Concerns also for vulnerable people (SE29). We believe an alternative site in a larger urban brown field location with appropriate park and ride scheme would be better and that this should be reviewed alongside the campus proposals, with the outcome made public.

3. SE25 Effects on the tourism economy (from Paragraph 9.7.63 to Paragraph 9.7.96 in ES Volume 2, Chapter 9) are appropriately considered.

Aldeburgh Town Council does not agree that the effects on the tourism economy have been appropriately considered, and consider that they are more significant that outlined by the Applicant. Same comment for SE28.